Programme I	nformation & PLOs				
Title of the new	programme – including any year abroa	d/ in industry variants			
BA English and Ph	ilosophy				
Level of qualific	ation				
Please select:	Level 6 (H)				
Please indicate	if the programme is offered with any ye	ar abroad / in industry	v variants	Year in Industry Please select Y/N	
Please illuicate	in the programme is offered with any ye	ear abroau / iii iiiuusti y	y variants	Year AbroadPlease select Y/N	
Department(s):	Where more than one department is inv	olved, indicate the lead	d department		
Lead					
Department	English and Related Literature				
Other					
contributing					
Departments:	Philosophy				
Programme le	eader				

Richard Walsh

Purpose and learning outcomes of the programme

Statement of purpose for applicants to the programme

The English and Philosophy programme brings together the methods and subject matter of two disciplines with multiple points of contact. Both will present you with the opportunity to engage closely with texts from a range of modern and historical cultural contexts, exploring values and ideas that resonate with the contemporary world. You will examine English-language literatures, and the critical arguments about them, from around the globe, with opportunities to study foreign literatures in translation or in the original language as well. You will investigate fundamental philosophical questions about reality, experience, thought, and value, getting to grips with deep and difficult problems and trying to find answers—engaging constructively with the ideas of philosophers past and present, and developing your own. In both disciplines you will cultivate your creative imagination and your powers of argument, in oral and written communication, honing a set of skills that are important to a wide range of careers, and highly valued by employers: critical thinking and analytical reasoning; the ability to analyze and solve complex problems; effective communication; application of skills and knowledge to real-world issues; extraction and organization of information; the ability to innovate and be creative; teamwork skills; ethical and cultural awareness. You will also benefit from the complementary priorities of philosophical and literary inquiry. In philosophy the emphasis falls upon the clarification of ideas and arguments, and the analytical care and precision with which you examine them; in English the emphasis is upon your responsiveness to the interpretative nuances of literary texts as aesthetic, rhetorical and ideological artefacts. As your abilities advance you will discover the reciprocal benefits of bringing the methods of each discipline to bear in the context of the other. The programme culminates with an interdisciplinary taught module focussed on the dialogue between the literary and philosophical perspectives in which you have been trained, rounding out your understanding of what they bring to culture and society, and to your own role in it.

Program	nme Learning OutcomesPlease provide six to eight statements of what a graduate of the programme can be expected to do.
Taken to	ogether, these outcomes should capture the distinctive features of the programme. They should also be outcomes for which progressive achievement
through	the course of the programme can be articulated, and which will therefore be reflected in the design of the whole programme.
PLO	On successful completion of the programme, graduates will be able to:
1	Read philosophical and literary texts with close critical attention, clearly explaining and interpreting them and their relations to the issues, traditions and periods in which they participate, and synthesizing information from secondary sources
2	Develop and articulate arguments for alternative solutions to key philosophical problems in an open-minded and imaginative way, by presenting the best case that can be made for each proposal and advancing a reasoned judgement about the best solution
3	Analyse the power of language, rhetoric and narrative and the influence they have upon cultural, political, and ethical issues, using this awareness better to understand the world and influence others
4	Exercise and continually develop their independent thought and critical judgement by interrogating their own underlying assumptions and identifying strengths and weaknesses, refining their critical engagement with arguments and texts in the light of self-reflection, peer review, and advice and feedback from others
5	Engage analytically with contemporary social, political and ethical problems and issues of value, and display a critical awareness of cultural diversity informed by knowledge of the literatures of different varieties of English or other European languages, so developing the ability to operate in complex global and multicultural contexts
6	Engage productively in critical discussion and debate, and therefore work effectively in collaboration with others, by cultivating advanced oral communication skills
7	Influence people by writing clearly, accurately and persuasively, articulating ideas and presenting systematic, logical arguments to support measured judgements, and doing so in lucid and accessible terms, to a deadline and to a professional standard
8	Move confidently between the methods and practices of the disciplines of English and Philosophy, rigorously applying the skills appropriate to a given context whilst maintaining a creative, intellectually independent alertness to the relevance of alternative ways of thinking and the insight they can afford
Drogram	ame Learning Outcome for year in industry (where applicable)

Programme Learning Outcome for year in industry (where applicable)

For programmes which lead to the title 'with a Year in Industry' – typically involving an additional year – please provide either a) amended versions of some (at least one, but not necessarily all) of the standard PLOs listed above, showing how these are changed and enhanced by the additional year in industry b) an additional PLO, if and only if it is not possible to capture a key ability developed by the year in industry by alteration of the standard PLOs.

n/a

Programme Learning Outcome for year abroad programmes (where applicable)

For programmes which lead to the title 'with a Year Abroad' – typically involving an additional year – please provide either a) amended versions of some (at least one, but not necessarily all) of the standard PLOs listed above, showing how these are changed and enhanced by the additional year abroad or b) an additional PLO, if and only if it is not possible to capture a key ability developed by the year abroad by alteration of the standard PLOs.

n/a

Explanation of the choice of Programme Learning OutcomesPlease explain your rationale for choosing these PLOs in a statement that can be used for students (such as in a student handbook). Please include brief reference to:

i) Why the PLOs are considered ambitious or stretching?

The PLOs define the key attributes that will benefit our graduates in employment or further study beyond their degree. The PLOs signal an ambition that our graduates will distinguish themselves by their ability to interpret and express complex ideas, in relation to diverse cultural contexts and in effective language; to exercise critical thinking in understanding and explaining problems, developing solutions, laying out arguments, and making a judgement on the basis of evidence; to draw upon the range of skills cultivated by a multi-disciplinary to confidently devise, manage, and complete projects independently and collaboratively. Meeting the PLOs will establish our graduates as independent thinkers, characterised by the sophistication of their analysis of cultural texts and objects, and their capacity for resourceful, creative, flexible, and reflective thinking, applied to difficult problems in a rigorous and systematic way.

ii) The ways in which these outcomes are distinctive or particularly advantageous to the student:

The PLOs refer to a wide-ranging and robust set of skills and knowledge with which our graduates will be equipped. They capture the distinctive character of English and Philosophy at York: its emphasis on students' critical and analytical engagement with texts of a wide historical scope and linguistic range; and on the cultivation of the key skills of philosophy – insightful explication of problems, open-minded development of possible solutions, sharp articulation of arguments for and against particular solutions, and measured judgement based on the considerations raised. Students' highly-developed research, analytical and communication skills will be particularly advantageous as they move forward to a diverse range of careers; these skills are highly prized by employers and also enable our graduates to be thoughtful citizens and effective agents for positive change in society.

iii) How the programme learning outcomes develop students' digital literacy and will make appropriate use of technology-enhanced learning (such as lecture recordings, online resources, simulations, online assessment, 'flipped classrooms' etc)?

The PLOs emphasise a strong focus on research, communication and critical enquiry. In order to achieve these outcomes students make use of a range of digital tools, developing increasing digital literacy over the course of their degree. In their essay research they use databases of critical scholarship, digitised historical texts and cultural artefacts; in their development of presentations and projects they make use of digital recording and editing tools; and in their time and resource management they utilise referencing software and apps. Students engage with technology-enhanced learning through the VLE, making use of lecture recordings, course blogs, discussion groups and online assessment.

iv) How the PLOs support and enhance the students' employability (for example, opportunities for students to apply their learning in a real world setting)? The programme's employability objectives should be informed by the University's Employability Strategy:

http://www.york.ac.uk/about/departments/support-and-admin/careers/staff/

The PLOs make explicit the skills that will prepare graduates for a range of employment and further study. In addition to traditional knowledge acquisition, they emphasise the management and dissemination of information, to the benefit of students joining the workforce within a changing knowledge economy (PLO 1); analysis, critical reflection, problem solving and evidence-based judgement (PLO 2); ethical and cultural awareness and sensitivity (PLOs 3 and 5); autonomy and self-direction in relation to projects requiring independent thought, initiative and adaptation (PLO 4); clear and effective communication, both written and oral (PLOs 6 and 7); and intellectual breadth and agility (PLO 8). Across the PLOs, these attributes will help graduates articulate and account for their transferrable skills, while promoting opportunities for work-related learning and ensuring alignment of professional skills development across the curriculum.

vi) How will students who need additional support for academic and transferable skills be identified and supported by the Department?

All students are allocated a personal supervisor before they arrive for their first term at York. Students meet with their supervisor at least once a term to discuss marks and feedback. Supervisors are thus able to note any problems and direct the student to support services on campus, for instance disability services or library and information services. Attendance at teaching is centrally monitored by the department, which allows the department to identify students who for various reasons are struggling. Student thus identified are invited to meet with the Chair or Deputy Chair of the Board of Studies who in conjunction with supervisors and university services can offer support.

vii) How is teaching informed and led by research in the department/centre/University?

All of the staff teaching on the English and Philosophy programme are research active and deliver research-led teaching in their area of specialism from year 1 of the degree onward. Year 2 English modules are driven by cutting-edge research from the department's distinctive research schools, while in year 3 all modules in English and Philosophy focus on topics framed as ambitious engagements with the research of the module tutor(s). The bridge module brings together interdiciplinary research expertise from members of both departments.

Stage-level progression

Please complete the table below, to summarise students' progressive development towards the achievement of PLOs, in terms of the characteristics that you expect students to demonstrate at the end of each year. This summary may be particularly helpful to students and the programme team where there is a high proportion of option modules.

Note: it is not expected that a position statement is written for each PLO, but this can be done if preferred (please add information in the 'individual statement' boxes). For a statement that applies across all PLOs in the stage fill in the 'Global statement' box.

Stage 0 (if your programme has a Foundation year, use the toggles to the left to show the hidden rows)

Stage 1

to:

On progression from the first year (Stage 1), students will be able In English, students will have acquired a broad understanding of literary history, key concepts, cultural contexts, and critical and theoretical approaches across their core modules in Stage 1. They will also have begun developing critical enquiry skills, in relation to their research and writing, through reading, classroom discussion, and assessment tasks. They will begin to exercise independent thought and judgement in the management of research projects, developing greater confidence in the communication of ideas, both written and orally. Writing skills are a particular focus of Stage 1, where students follow a dedicated year-long writing 'strand' which aims to provide them with the groundwork for writing across the degree. Stage 1 will also provide foundational experience in working with texts and ideas, upon which Stages 2 and 3 will build.

> In Philosophy, students are exposed to carefully framed presentations of issues and debates with selected readings (of an appropriate level of difficulty) that they are not required to go beyond (as the aim at this stage is to focus attention and get to grips with those readings), with significant support from introductory material and quiding questions. Students are expected to be able to recognize and articulate key differences between the positions considered, to rehearse arguments accurately with a reasonable level of understanding, and to be able to make evaluative judgements about positions and arguments based on the evidence, showing sensitivity to key features of arguments such as validity and plausibility of premisses. Students are expected to be able to engage in discussions of philosophical texts: expressing their responses to study questions, listening carefully to the contributions of others and responding constructively. The first year of the programme is classed as probationary and students are expected to be able to demonstrate understanding of academic integrity—e.g. the need to avoid plagiarism and collusion—and to work on the programme with integrity.

PLO 1	PLO 2	PLO 3	PLO 4	PLO 5	PLO 6	PLO 7	PLO 8
Individual statements							
Stage 2							
	n from the second y	rear (Stage 2), studer	concepts and contexts. Step these period further in Step audiences, In Philosof in a number are expected their own understand argue for the potential re	Indicate the development of the developments will have developments will have developments. They will also have building on the formal dents will begin to constitution, students are expensed to tackle more difficunderstanding and exploing of key problems, is their view on a question.	dge from Stage 1 to mone oped their capacity for exect a deeper sense of his ave engaged with a wide writing skills gained in Stolidate the independent cted to develop reasonathilosophy. They are given the puzzles and materials oring the relevant literations, positions, and arguments. They are expected to such they are expected to such they are expected tons. They are expected tons.	re specific historical peri xamining relevant critical torical or periodised app er range of writing tasks tage 1 and in relation to research skills that will in the depth and breadth of en key readings and intra and/or are expected to ture than in Year 1. They tements in clear and concer	rerature, in the application of iods and non-English language al and cultural contexts within proaches that will be taken if, for both specialist and general language study in Stage 2. be the focus of Stage 3. Of knowledge and understanding roduced to the issues, but they do more in terms of developing of are expected to express their rise terms. They are expected to o central arguments and in critical discussion and offer
PLO 1	PLO 2	PLO 3	PLO 4	PLO 5	PLO 6	PLO 7	PLO 8
Individual statements							
-	d Masters) On progrents will be able to:	ression from the third					
PLO 1	PLO 2	PLO 3	Global state	PLO 5	PLO 6	PLO 7	PLO 8

Read	Develop and	Analyse the power of	Exercise and continually	Engage analytically with	Engage productively in	Influence people by	Move confidently
philosophical and	articulate	language, rhetoric and	develop their	contemporary social,	critical discussion and	writing clearly,	between the methods
literary texts with	arguments for	narrative and the	independent thought	political and ethical	debate, and therefore	accurately and	and practices of the
close critical	alternative	influence they have	and critical judgement	problems and issues of	work effectively in	persuasively, articulating	disciplines of English and
attention, clearly	solutions to key	upon cultural, political,	by interrogating their	value, and display a	collaboration with	ideas and presenting	Philosophy, rigorously
explaining and	philosophical	and ethical issues, using	own underlying	critical awareness of	others, by cultivating	systematic, logical	applying the skills
interpreting them	problems in an	this awareness better to	assumptions and	cultural diversity	advanced oral	arguments to support	appropriate to a given
and their relations	open-minded and	understand the world	identifying strengths and	informed by knowledge	communication skills	measured judgements,	context whilst
to the issues,	imaginative way, by	and influence others	weaknesses, refining	of the literatures of		and doing so in lucid and	maintaining a creative,
traditions and	presenting the best		their critical engagement	different varieties of		accessible terms, to a	intellectually
periods in which	case that can be		with arguments and	English or other		deadline and to a	independent alertness to
they participate,	made for each		texts in the light of self-	European languages, so		professional standard	the relevance of
and synthesizing	proposal and		reflection, peer review,	developing the ability to			alternative ways of
information from	advancing a		and advice and feedback	operate in complex			thinking and the insight
secondary sources	reasoned		from others	global and multicultural			they can afford
	judgement about			contexts			
	the best solution						

Programme Structure

Module Structure and Summative Assessment Map

Please complete the summary table below which shows the module structure and the pattern of summative assessment through the programme.

'Option module' can be used in place of a specific named option. If the programme requires students to select option modules from specific lists these lists should be provided in the next section.

From the drop-down select 'S' to indicate the start of the module, 'A' to indicate the timing of each distinct summative assessment point (eg. essay submission/exam), and 'E' to indicate the end of the module (if the end of the module coincides with the summative assessment select 'EA'). It is not expected that each summative task will be listed where an overall module might be assessed cumulatively (for example weekly problem sheets).

If summative assessment by exams will be scheduled in the summer Common Assessment period (weeks 5-7) a single 'A' can be used within the shaded cells as it is understood that you will not know in which week of the CAP the examination will take place.

Stage 0 (if you have modules for Stage 0, use the toggles to the left to show the hidden rows)

			п
1.7	nie 1	σa	ми
c	55		

Credits		Module			Autumn Term									S	oring	Terr	n							Sui	mme	r Ter	m					
	Code	Title	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
English modules																																
		Approaches to																														
20		Literature I	S					Α				EΑ																				
20		Key Concepts	S																				Α				EΑ					

20					_		_		1	_													_					1					
Philosophy modules			Approaches to																														
Beginning														S				Α					EA						<u> </u>	ļ!	igsqcut		
10	PI	hilosoph	y modules																														
10			Beginning																														
Early Modern Philosophy B S S S S S S S S S	10		Philosophy		s																	Α	EΑ										
Reason and Argument B S S S S S S S S S																																	
10	10		Philosophy B		s								EΑ	Α																			
10			Reason and																														
Ethics	10			s									EΑ	Α																			
Introduction to Ancient Philosophy Phi	20														S								Е						Α				
10			Introduction to																														
Stage 2 Credits Module Autum Term Spring Term																																	
Credits Module Module Title 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 2 2	10		Philosophy																					S			Е		Α				
Code	Stage 2																																
English modules Intermediate Option S	Credits		Module				Αι	utum	n Tei	m							Sp	oring	Tern	n							Su	mme	r Ter	m			
Intermediate		Code	Title	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Option S		English	modules																														
Intermediate			Intermediate																														
20	20		Option	S				Α					EΑ																				
Critical Practice S			Intermediate																														
Or, in place of 40 of these credits: World Literature Option	20		Option											S				Α					EΑ										
World Literature Option	20		Critical Practice	S																							Ε		Α				
30 Option S	Or, in pl	lace of 40	of these credits:																														
30 Option S E A A S S E A A S S S E A A S S S S S			World Literature																														
10	30													S													Е	Α		Α			
10			Optional 2nd year																														
Key Ideas Module	10		topic module																					S			Е				Α		
Key Ideas Module	PI	hilosoph	y modules																														
Key Ideas Module - Spring And 20 credits from the following: Option Module - Spring (Reading Group, Essay Group, Essay		•																															
Key Ideas Module - Spring And 20 credits from the following: Option Module - Spring (Reading Group, Essay Group, Essay	20		– Autumn		s								E	Α																			
20			Key Ideas Module																														
And 20 credits from the following: Option Module - Spring (Reading Group, Essay Group, Essay Group)	20		Spring												s									Α			E		Α				
Option Module - Spring (Reading Group, Essay	And 20	credits fi	om the following:																												П		
- Spring (Reading Group, Essay																																	
Group, Essay			- Spring (Reading																														
'			Group, Essay																														
10 assessed)								1	1					i	1		- 1					i	i		i l		1		1	1 '	1 7	1	1

		Option Module – Spring (Lecture- based, Exam																														
10		assessed)												S								E						Α				
10		Option Module – Summer (both types)																					s			E		A				
Stage 3		71 7																					13			<u> </u>		A				
Credits		Module		_	_	Αι	ıtum	n Tei	rm	_		_		_	_	S	oring	Terr		_	_	_		_	_	Su	mme	r Ter	m		_	
0.00.10	Code	Title	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		modules																														
	0 credits	of the following:																														
20		Advanced Options	s									E	Α																			
20		Advanced Options											s									E	Α									
PI	hilosoph	y modules																														
		of the following:																														
20		Research-led Taught Module		s								E		Α																		
20		Research-led Taught Module												s								E		Α								
20		module																						_								
20	Driage	Philosophy and Literature												s								E				Α						
Stage 4																										7.						
Credits		Module				Αι	ıtum	n Tei	rm							S	oring	Terr	n							Su	mme	r Ter	m			
	Code	Title	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
																														igsquare		
																														\vdash		\dashv
																														\vdash		\dashv
																														\Box		\dashv
																																\dashv
				_																												
			-	-																												\dashv
				<u> </u>		L		l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>										

Optional module listsIf the programme requires students to select option modules from specific lists these lists should be provided below. If you need more space, use the toggles on the left to reveal ten further hidden rows. Option List A Option List B Option List C Option List D Option List E Option List F Option List G Option List H English **Philosophy Options** Philosophy Research-Led Taught Intermediate **English Stage 2 English World** Philosophy Key **English Advanced** Ideas modules Modules Topic modules Options Options (new) Literature modules The Age of Indicative: Indicative: Indicative: Extremes: twentieth TBC but Century British and Irish indicative list can TBC but modules we TBC but indicative list Literature be found: are approving now: can be found: https://www.vork. The Anglo-Saxon Applied Ethics Darwin and Human https://www.vork.ac. Contemporary Moral uk/english/students/mo World Image Nature (L) Theory ac. uk/english/student dules/modulecatalogue/special-American Literature from catalogue/topicmodules/ modules/secondthe First World vear-topic-War to the End modules/ of Empire German Idealism: Camus Ethical Theory Paradoxes (L) Victorians: Moral, Legal, and **British Literature** Political Philosophy 1832 - 1901 History of Ethics Philosophy in the Metaphysics of Mind The Shock of the Muslim World (L) New: Medieval Literature Dante Eros - The Literature Feminist Philosophy Philosophy of Time (L) Personal Identity and Philosophy of The Love Renaissance Philosophy of Art Chinese Philosophy Philosophy of Art from Inventing Britain, The European Avant-Hume to Tolstov 1700 - 1830 Garde Effective Altruism (R) Philosophy of Garcia Lorca Intermediate Logic Christianity Metaphysics God and Morality (R) Philosophy of Physics Introduction to Greek and Latin Literature Philosophy of Reading Kierkegaard Topics in Indian Muslim Translations of Philosophy Language (R) Britain Seeing Dark Things Philosophy of Mind Consciousness Old Norse Literature Philosophy of Religion Social and Political Contemporary Issues in Bioethics Epistemology (R) Foundations of Mathematics Philosophy of Science

Hume	Heidegger
Kant	Philosophy of Action
Spinoza and Leibniz	Philosophy of
	Emotions
History of Analytic Philosophy	Philosophy of Film
Nietzsche	Pragmatism
	The Value and
	Meaning of Life

Management and Admissions Information This document applies to students who commenced the programme(s) in: 2017/18 Interim awards available Interim awards available on undergraduate programmes (subject to programme regulations) will normally be: Certificate of Higher Education (Level 4/Certificate), Diploma of Higher Education (Level 5/Intermediate), Ordinary Degree and in the case of Integrated Masters the Bachelors with honours. Please specify any proposed exceptions to this norm. Certificate of Higher Education (Level 4/Certificate)Generic Diploma of Higher Education (Level 5/Intermediate)Generic **Admissions Criteria** TYPICAL OFFERS A levels AAA or A*AB with minimum A in English for Q300 AAA for QV31 AAB for QV33, QV35, QL32 and QQ31 Length and status of the programme(s) and mode(s) of study Status (full-Start dates/months Mode **Programme** Length (if applicable – for programmes time/part-(years) time) that have multiple intakes or Face-to-face, campus-based **Distance learning** Other start dates that differ from the Please select usual academic year) BA (Hons) in English and Philosophy 3 Full-time n/a Please select Y/N Yes Please select Y/N No ln/a Language(s) of study English. Language(s) of assessment English. Programme accreditation by Professional, Statutory or Regulatory Bodies (PSRB) Is the programme recognised or accredited by a PSRB if No move to next Section Please Select Y/N: No if Yes complete the following questions Name of PSRB

Are there any conditions on the approval/accreditation of the programme(s)/graduates (for example accreditation only for the full award and not any interim award)

Additional Profession	al or Vocational Standards
Are there any additional i	requirements of accrediting bodies or PSRB or pre-requisite professional experience needed to study this programme?
Please Select Y/N:	if Yes, provide details
	If 1c3, provide details
(max 200 words)	
University award regu	
	assessment regulations apply to all programmes: any exceptions that relate to this programme are approved by University Teaching Committee and are recorded at
the end of this document.	ramme permitted to take elective modules?
(See: https://www.york.a	ac.uk/media/staffhome/learningandteaching/documents/policies/Framework%20for%20Programme%20Design%20-%20UG.pdf)
Please Select Y/N:	
Careers & Placements	s - 'With Placement Year' programmes
_	luate and integrated masters programmes may apply to spend their third year on a work-based placement facilitated by Careers & Placements.
	rn to their studies at Stage 3 in the following year, thus lengthening their programme by a year. Successful completion of the placement year and
	ows this to be recognised in programme title, which is amended to include 'with Placement Year' (e.g. BA in XYZ with Placement Year'). The a Programme Learning Outcome, concerning employability. (See Careers & Placements for details).
	ces, UTC may approve an exemption from the 'Placement Year' initiative. This is usually granted only for compelling reasons concerning
	rtment already has a Year in Industry with criteria sufficiently generic so as to allow the same range of placements; or if the programme is less
than three years in length	
Programme excluded	
from Placement Year? No	If yes, what are the reasons for this exemption:
	ng Year Abroad as an additional year and replacement year)
	nes may apply to spend Stage 2 on the University-wide North America/ Asia/ Australia student exchange programme. Acceptance onto the
programme is on a compe	etitive basis. Marks from modules taken on replacement years count toward progression and classification.
Does the programme inclu	ude the opportunity to undertake other formally agreed study abroad activities? All such programmes must comply with the Policy on Study

Abroad

https://www.york.ac.uk/staff/teaching/procedure/programmes/design/

12

Please Select Y/N: No	
Additional information	
Transfers out of or into the programme	
ii) Transfers into the programme will be possible?	
(please select Y/N)	
Additional details:	
ii) Transfers out of the programme will be possible? (please select Y/N)	
Additional details:	
redutional details.	
Exceptions to University Award Regulations approved by University Teaching Committ	ree
Exception	Date approved
Please detail any exceptions to University Award Regulations approved by UTC	Said approved
Date on which this programme information was updated:	
	25/05/2017
Please note:	
The information above provides a concise summary of the main features of the programme and	the learning outcomes that a typical student might reasonably be expected to achieve and
demonstrate if they take full advantage of the learning opportunities that are provided.	
Detailed information on the learning outcomes, content, delivery and assessment of modules ca	on be found in the module descriptions
becames in an are rearring outcomes, content, derivery and assessment of modules co	in se round in the module descriptions.
The University reserves the right to modify this overview in unforeseen circumstances, or where	the process of academic development, based on feedback from staff, students, external
examiners or professional bodies, requires a change to be made. Students will be notified of any	substantive changes at the first available opportunity.
Programme Map	
Please note: the programme map below is in interim format pending the development of a Univer	sity Programme Catalogue.

Programme Map: Module Contribution to Programme Learning Outcomes

This table maps the contribution to programme learning outcomes made by each module, in terms of the advance in understanding/ expertise acquired or reinforced in the module, the work by which students achieve this advance and the assessments that test it. This enables the programme rationale to be understood:

- · Reading the table vertically illustrates how the programme has been designed to deepen knowledge, concepts and skills progressively. It shows how the progressive achievement of PLOs is supported by formative work and evaluated by summative assessment. In turn this should help students to understand and articulate their development of transferable skills and to relate this to other resources, such as the Employability Tutorial and York Award;
- · Reading the table horizontally explains how the experience of a student at a particular time includes a balance of activities appropriate to that stage, through the design of modules.

	1	1							
Stage	Module				Programme Lea	rning Outcomes			
		PLO1	PLO2	PLO3	PLO4	PLO5	PLO6	PLO7	PLO8
		Read philosophical	Develop and	Analyse the power	Exercise and	Engage analytically	Engage	Influence people	Move confidently
		and literary texts	articulate	of language,	continually	with contemporary	productively in	by writing clearly,	between the
		with close critical	arguments for	rhetoric and	develop their	social, political and	critical discussion	accurately and	methods and
		attention, clearly	alternative	narrative and the	independent	ethical problems	and debate, and	persuasively,	practices of the
		explaining and	solutions to key	influence they	thought and	and issues of	therefore work	articulating ideas	disciplines of
		interpreting them	philosophical	have upon	critical judgement	value, and display	effectively in	and presenting	English and
		and their relations	problems in an	cultural, political,	by interrogating	a critical	collaboration with	systematic, logical	Philosophy,
		to the issues,	open-minded and	and ethical issues,	their own	awareness of	others, by	arguments to	rigorously applying
		traditions and	imaginative way,	using this	underlying	cultural diversity	cultivating	support measured	the skills
		periods in which	by presenting the	awareness better	assumptions and	informed by	advanced oral	judgements, and	appropriate to a
		they participate,	best case that can	to understand the	identifying	knowledge of the	communication	doing so in lucid	given context
		and synthesizing	be made for each	world and	strengths and	literatures of	skills	and accessible	whilst maintaining
		information from	proposal and	influence others	weaknesses,	different varieties		terms, to a	a creative,
		secondary sources	advancing a		refining their	of English or other		deadline and to a	intellectually
			reasoned		critical	European		professional	independent
			judgement about		engagement with	languages, so		standard	alertness to the
			the best solution		arguments and	developing the			relevance of
					texts in the light of	ability to operate			alternative ways of
					self-reflection,	in complex global			thinking and the
					peer review, and	and multicultural			insight they can
					advice and	contexts			afford
					feedback from				
					others				

English 1	Approaches to Literature I	Progress towards PLO	Students are introduced to key primary texts from the eighteenth century to the modern period, and selected secondary sources.	Students are introduced to a range of historical and cultural contexts.	Students begin to develop independent critical thought, judgement, and evidence-based arguments.	Students are introduced to different cultural perspectives and historical varieties of English through a range of texts.	Students will be begin to develop the oral skills required for critical discussion and debate in response to their reading.	Students will begin to develop their writing skills.	Students develop a sense of a cultural history informing both literature and philosophy.
		By working on (and if applicable, assessed through)	Work: Students follow a directed programme of reading, supported by lectures and group discussion. Assessment: Students critique and interpret these texts in 2 short (1500-word) essays.	Work: Students analyse a core set of primary texts, supported by selected secondary reading and lectures. Assessment: Students apply analysis to topics for 2 short (1500-word) essays.	Work: Students engage in self- reflection, peer- review, and workshop feedback. Assessment: Students devise their own essay topics, plan essays, and construct arguments which respond to their reading.	Work: Students engage with historical varieties of English and culture (C18 - modern) through reading, lectures, and workshop activities. Assessment: 2 short (1500-word) essays ask students to respond to these varieties of English, and to consider the relationship between text and context.	Work: Students participate in group discussion and activities. Assessment: Group discussion informs essay ideas and helps develop oral skills, which are summatively assessed in term 3.	Work: Students respond to tutor and peer feedback, and pursue guided independent study. Assessment: Students develop their writing and time management skills in order to produce 2 short (1500-word) essays.	Work: Students follow a directed programme of reading, supported by lectures and group discussion. Assessment: Students critique and interpret these texts in 2 short (1500-word) essays.

English 1 Approaches to Literature II	Progress towards PLO	Students are introduced to key primary texts from the medieval and early modern periods, and selected secondary sources.	Students are introduced to a range of more remote historical and cultural contexts.	Students begin to develop independent critical thought, judgement, and evidence-based arguments.	Students are introduced to more chronologically distant cultural perspectives and historical varieties of English through a range of texts.	Students will be begin to develop the oral skills required for critical discussion and debate in response to their reading.	Students will begin to develop their writing skills.	Students develop a sense of a cultural history informing both literature and philosophy.
	By working on (and if applicable, assessed through)	Work: Students follow a directed programme of reading, supported by lectures and group discussion. Assessment: Students critique and interpret these texts in 2 written tasks, the first of which is a 1000-word close analysis of a medieval primary text, followed by a longer (2000-word) essay, which builds on the close-reading task and critical skills developed in term 1.	Work: Students analyse a core set of primary texts, supported by selected secondary reading and lectures. Assessment: Students closely analyse the affective power of language in their 1000-word writing task, then develop these analytical skills in an argument for the 2000-word essay.	Work: Students engage in self-reflection, peer-review, and workshop feedback. Assessment: Students devise their own essay topic, plan an essay, and construct an argument which responds to their reading.	Work: Students engage with historical varieties of English and culture (medieval to early modern) through reading, lectures, and workshop activities. Assessment: 2 written tasks ask students to respond to these varieties of English, and the 2000-word essay requires them to consider the relationship between text and context.	Work: Students participate in group discussion and activities. Assessment: Group discussion informs essay ideas and helps develop oral skills, which are summatively assessed in term 3.	Work: Students respond to tutor and peer feedback, and pursue guided independent study. Assessment: Students develop their writing and time management skills in order to complete the 2 summative writing tasks.	Work: Students follow a directed programme of reading, supported by lectures and group discussion. Assessment: Students critique and interpret these texts in 2 written tasks, the first of which is a 1000-word close analysis of a medieval primary text, followed by a longer (2000-word) essay, which builds on the close-reading task and critical skills developed in term 1.

English 1	Key Concepts	Progress towards PLO	Students are introduced to a range of key literary terms, concepts and theoretical approaches, as well as a range of literary genres.	Students are introduced to the ways in which critical tools can help them to understand language's social and political impact.	Students are introduced to the process of writing and revision for university-level essays, and will begin to develop their own critical voice.	Students will be introduced to theories of multiculturalism and cultural diversity.	Students are introduced to the practice of peer review and feedback, and will begin to develop a vocabulary of critiquing their own and others' writing.	Students are trained to apply principles of effective writing practice, and to the demands of academic essays and other forms.	Students gain familiarity with theoretical ideas within literary theory, many of which involve philosophical issues.
		By working on (and if applicable, assessed through)	Work: Students read foundational theoretical texts, supported by lectures. Assessment: Students respond to and interpret these texts in a written portfolio and 2-hour closed exam.	Work: Students analyse and discuss literature's cultural and political impact, in relation to theoretical concepts, and refine the effectiveness of their own language in a variety of writing tasks. Assessment: Students apply this analysis and awareness in a written portfolio and 2-hour closed exam.	Work: Students will engage in fortnightly writing workshops and guided independent practice. Assessment: Students will draw on self-reflection, peer and tutor feedback, and revision skills, in order to develop a portfolio which reflects the writing and revision process.	Work: Students engage with theories of diversity through primary reading and lectures. Assessment: Students will apply an awareness of diversity in their written portfolio and a 2-hour closed exam.	Work: Students will engage in the peer review process, and begin to develop oral skills in fortnightly writing workshops. Assessment: Revision and peer- review informs essay ideas and helps develop oral skills, which are summatively assessed in term 3.	Work: Students will practice clear and accurate writing for regular deadlines in fortnightly writing workshops. Assessment: Students will demonstrate writing skills, both through a portfolio of writing and revision and in a 2- hour closed exam.	Work: Students read foundational theoretical texts, supported by lectures. Assessment: Students respond to and interpret these texts in a written portfolio and 2-hour closed exam.

Dhilosophy 1	Poginning	Drogross towards	Dy attending and	Dy ongoging with	Dononding upon	VEV. Dy workina	Donondingunes	Dyworking		By ongoging with
Philosophy 1	Beginning	Progress towards	By attending and	By engaging with	Depending upon	KEY: By working	Depending upon	By working		By engaging with
	Philosophy	PLU	engaging with	lectures and	which topics are	though the online	which topics are	independently on		foundational
(NOTE E. J. 1.1.1)			'taster' lectures on	researching an	the subjects of	tutorials, students	the subjects of	the online		philosophical
[NOTE: For details			a wide variety of	essay on a chosen	'taster' lectures, by		'taster' lectures, by	elements of the		problems in a
of Philosophy			philosophical	topic from the	attending and	what is distinctive	attending and	module, fitting in		historical context,
assessments,			topics, students	'taster' lectures,	engaging with	and new to them	engaging with	their work around		students develop
please see the			will develop a	students will	'taster lectures,	about university	'taster lectures,	other		an awareness of
associated			basic	develop awareness	students will think	level study of	students will think	commitments to		the larger cultural
document			understanding of a	of some different	about	philosophy (e.g.	about various	complete it not		connections
'Assessment in			range of problems	solutions to and	philosophical	the way in which a	issues which arise	only by the		informing that
Single Subject			and approaches in	approaches to	problems in moral	successful essay is	out of or are	deadline but also		history
Philosophy'.]			'applied' and	solving	and political	one which	discussed in	to ensure that		
			'theoretical'	philosophical	philosophy,	presents a	connection with	relevant elements		
			philosophy and its	problems. By	aesthetics,	sustained line of	cultures very	are completed at		
			history.	writing an essay on	normative	argument, rather	different from the	appropriate times		
				a chosen topic	epistemology and	than a selection of	various cultures	(e.g. completing		
				from the 'taster'	the history of	disparate	from which they	Writing Skills		
				lectures, students	philosophy which	considerations),	come, and about	before submitting		
				will develop their	raise, or are	and what they	the elements of	their first essays),		
				argumentation	immediately,	need to do in	those cultures	students will		
				skills, their ability	issues of value,	order to write well	which are most	develop their		
				to select relevant	and will approach	and engage	relevant to the	ability to prioritise		
				material for	them with the	appropriately with	framing and	and self-motivate.		
				discussion, and	tools of	the course	discussion of those	and sen-motivate.		
				· ·		material.				
				their ability to	philosophical	materiai.	issues.			
				present a coherent	analysis and					
				line of argument	reflection.	By reading,				
				to a definite		reflecting upon				
				conclusion in		and perhaps				
				writing.		talking about the				
						feedback provided				
				By completing the		on their essay,				
				Critical Thinking		students will				
				element of the		develop strategies				
				online module		for overcoming				
				material,		problems with				
				students will		their written work,				
				develop their		and be aware of				
				ability to argue		what they do well				
				and assess		and where there is				
				arguments.		room for				
						improvement.				
Philosophy 1	Early Modern	Progress towards	By engaging in	By examining the		By reflecting on	By engaging with	By engaging in	By presenting their	
Philosophy 1	Early Modern	Progress towards	By engaging in	By examining the		By reflecting on	By engaging with		By presenting their	

Philosophy B	PLO	close reading of	different	seminar	the work of	study where the	responses to the	foundational
		some carefully	responses to	discussions and	philosophers from	emphasis is on	texts in extended	philosophical
		selected texts from	philosophical	other feedback on	a different period	seminar	seminar	problems in a
		the Early Modern	questions	their work,	with different	preparation and	discussions,	historical context,
		period (including	presented by	students will be	scientific and	discussion	students will	students develop
		pieces by figures	opposed seminar	able to identify	religious views	involving primary	develop their	an awareness of
		like Descartes and	readings and	some key	(and making	texts, students will	ability to express	the larger cultural
		Malebranche)	responding to	strengths and	appropriate use of	develop their	themselves orally;	connections
		which debate key	seminar	weaknesses in	secondary and	abilities to work	by preparing for	informing that
		questions, and by	guidanceand	their capacities	contextualizing	effectively in an	seminars and	history
		participating in	feedback, and by	and seek help for	material), students	independent way	producing written	
		extended seminar	preparing a	improvement on	will be able to	and engage in	assessments,	
		discussions of	summative essay,	that basis	demonstrate some	close reading to	students will	
		these texts,	students will		sensitivity to	develop	develop their	
		students will be	develop their		cultural and	understanding	ability to express	
		able to understand	ability to identify		historical context		themselves in	
		and explain some	and characterize		in understanding	By engaging in	writing	
		key debates about	solutions, to		the work and ideas	extended seminar		
		the nature of the	identify and		of others	discussions,		
		world and our	describe key			students will		
		experience and	arguments in the			develop their		
		knowledge of it	work of others, to			ability to engage in		
			make a judgement			fruitful		
			on what is the best			philosophical		
			response to a			discussion with		
			philosophical			others—making		
			puzzle			clear, concise, and		
						relevant		
						contributions, and		
						listening carefully		
						to others		

Stage 1	Reason and	By working to	By tackling puzzles	By tackling puzzles	By tackling puzzles	By discussing and	
	Argument B	express claims and	and problems	and problems	and problems	evaluating answers	
		arguments	concerning the	concerning the	concerning the	to set questions in	
		expressed in	meaning of and	meaning of and	meaning of and	seminars, students	
		natural language in	logical relations	logical relations	logical relations	will develop their	
		logical terms,	between claims,	between claims,	between claims,	abilities to	
		students will	students will		students will come	communicate	
		acquire the basic	develop their	able to	to be able to apply	orally and to	
		logical literacy	abilities to produce	demonstrate a	the concepts and	collaborate in	
		(abilities with	candidate	critical awareness	techniques of logic	seeking solutions	
		concepts,	solutions and to	of the workings of	to clarify,	to problems	
		terminology, and	select the best	language—e.g. in	articulate, and		
		notation of logic)	solution on a	relation to (a)	evaluate		
		required for	reasoned basis	forms of ambiguity	arguments in a		
		understanding		and (b) the	systematic way		
		philosophical texts		distinction			
		and discussions in		between what a			
		the remainder of		speaker succeeds			
		the programme		in conveying by			
				using words on a			
				particular			
				occasion, and what			
				those words mean			

Stage 1					

Philosophy 1	Ethics	Progress towards	By thinking about	KEY: By reading	KEY: By studying	By noting the	KEY: By	By preparing for	By studying
		PLO	and discussing	about and being	and forming ideas	responses of their	understanding the	lectures and	philosophical
			both 'theoretical'	introduced to	about a range of	tutors and	particular moral	seminars by	approaches to
			and 'applied'	systematic and	moral issues,	colleagues in	and theological	reading set texts	ethical questions,
			issues in moral	logical arguments	paying particular	seminar work,	presuppositions	and making notes	students acquire
			philosophy,	in moral	attention to the	students will	behind some of	detailing key	contrasting frame
			stimulated by	philosophy	arguments and	develop their	the views	points and	of reference to
			ideas from	(including	considerations	ability to correct	discussed (e.g. in	questions (both	literary
			carefully selected	theoretical ones	which count in	their	the discussion of	clarificatory and	explorations of
			historical texts and	about, e.g., the	favour of and	understandings	divine command	critical) which arise	ethics.
			recent work in the	metaphysical	against particular	and modify their	theory, and the	from that reading,	
			field, students will	status of moral	views, students	practice in	discussion of	students will	
			be able to engage	truths, and applied	will ask and	response to	abortion), and	develop their	
			with (debate and	ones about, e.g.,	answer questions	constructive	manifesting this	capacity to work in	
			deliberate about)	the moral limits of	for themselves	criticism	understanding in	a focused way	
			at least some of	markets) and	about what is		their discussions	under self-	
			the most	reflecting on the	valuable and what	By reflecting upon	and writing,	direction,	
			important ideas in	structure,	ought to be done,	the written	students will be	highlighting	
			a range of	strengths and	and about how we	feedback they	able to understand	aspects of their	
			fundamental	weaknesses of	ought to think	receive on their	the ways in which	work with which	
			debates in Ethics	those arguments,	about those	written formative	certain moral	they need further	
			and the structure	students will	questions and how	and summative	issues are treated	help	
			and content of	develop their	progress might be	work, and	in different	ПСГР	
			some key	ability to assess	made in answering	discussing their	cultural contexts,	By participating in	
			arguments	ideas in relation to	them	formative	and they will	seminar discussion	
			employed to	the arguments	them	feedback with	develop their	of sometimes	
			defend various	which might be		their seminar	ability to explain	contentious	
			views in those	used to support		tutors in dedicated	this and respond	and/or difficult	
			debates; and	them, and become		'feedback	appropriately in	moral issues,	
			students will also	more familiar with		tutorials', students	discussion and	students will learn	
			develop and	a range of		will understand	writing	to articulate their	
			demonstrate their	argumentative		ways in which both	Witching .	views clearly and	
			ability to explain	strategies which		their ideas and		sensitively, respect	
			these things to	might be		arguments and		and respond	
			others in	employed by them		their subject-		critically but	
			discussion	in novel ways		specific writing can		appropriately to	
			uiscussion	III Hovel ways		be improved (as		others' ideas, and	
			By writing essays	By discussing these		well as their		take advantage of	
			on selected issues	arguments in		writing more		the understanding	
			covered in the	seminars and in		generally, if		and ideas others	
			course and	writing, students		improvement here		have	
			reflecting on	will develop their		is needed)		liave	
			feedback given,	ability to articulate		is fieeded)		By preparing for	
			students will	arguments in a				the closed exam,	
				precise and				students will	
			improve their ability to explain	perspicuous way,				develop their	
			these issues in					ability to form a	
			writing	highlighting what is most				rounded	
			writing						
				contentious and				understanding of a	
				most important				topic area which	
								can be deployed	
								flexibly to address	
								a newly-presented	
								question	

hilosophy 1	Introduction to	Progress towards	By reading Ancient	By studying the	By reflecting on	By engaging	By engaging in	By participating in
	Ancient Philosophy	PLO	Greek texts (in	texts, and	seminar	critically with a	regular seminar	and preparing for
			English translation)	preparing for and	discussions and	work written more	preparation	seminars, students
			with support from	engaging in	feedback on other	than 2,000 years	involving guided	will develop their
			lectures and	seminar	formative work,	ago, by	reading, students	ability to state key
			seminar guidance	discussions,	and by making use	participating in	will acquire basic	ideas and
			notes, students	students will	of feedback and	seminar activities,	strategies for	arguments orally;
			will develop	develop their	advice sessions,	and by using	approaching	by preparing for
			understanding of	ability to identify	students will	secondary	philosophical texts	seminars and
			some key	and distinguish	develop their	materials, students	in a way which	producing written
			problems, issues,	proposed solutions	ability to identify	will learn to	assists	assessments,
			and debates.	and	their strengths and	evaluate	understanding and	students will
				interpretations, to	weaknesses and	arguments in a	evaluation—e.g.	develop their
				critically assess	seek help in	historically	identifying key	ability to express
				arguments	making	sensitive way	claims and	themselves in
				presented, and	improvements		arguments	writing
				form a judgement				
				on a particular			By participating in	
				issue based on			seminar	
				evidence; by			discussions guided	
				preparing and			by a seminar tutor,	
				writing formative			students will	
				and summative			develop their	
				work, students will			abilities to present	
				develop their			answers to study	
				ability to articulate			questions orally	
				arguments			and to engage with	
				outlined in the			the contributions	
				module materials			of others	
				and evaluate these				
				arguments				
				critically.				

English 2	Intermediate	Progress towards	Students will build	Students will build	Students will	Students will	Students will	Students will	Students will
Liigiisii 2	Option Module	PLO	on knowledge of	on knowledge and	further develop	develop their	further develop	further develop	further enrich their
			historical contexts	critical skills gained		understanding of	the oral skills	their writing skills.	understanding of
			and critical skills	in Year 1	thought, well-	cultural diversity	required for critical		the cultural and
			gained in Year 1	Approaches	structured and	by engaging with a	discussion and		intellectual history
			Approaches	modules, focussing		diverse range of	debate, in		informing both
			modules, focussing	on cultural and	arguments,	texts and	response to their		literature and
			on texts from a	political contexts	through self-	perspectives from	reading.		philosophy.
			particular	of a particular	reflection, peer	different historical			
			historical period.	historical period.	review, and	periods.			
					feedback.				
		By working on (and		Work: Students	Work and	Work: Students	Work: Students	Work and	Work: Students
		if applicable,	explore texts from	analyse a core set	assessment:	build their	participate in	assessment:	explore texts from
		assessed through)	a particular	of historical texts,	Students devise	awareness of	critical discussion	Students continue	a particular
			historical period,	supported by	their own essay	historical cultural	and debate in	to develop their	historical period,
			supported by	broad secondary	topics, planning	diversity and	small-group and	writing and time	supported by
			lectures and small-	reading and	essays, and	varieties of	seminar-wide	management skills,	lectures and small-
			group discussion.	lectures.	constructing	English.	discussions and	conveying	group discussion.
			Assessment:	Assessment:	arguments which	Assessment:	short	increasingly	Assessment:
			Students critique	Students closely	respond to their	Students	presentations.	complex ideas and	Students critique
			and interpret	analyse texts'	reading for a short	demonstrate this	Assessment: Oral	responding to	and interpret
			these texts, with	historical	(1000-word)	awareness in a	communication	feedback in a short	these texts, with
			reference to the	specificity and	research/textual	short (1000-word)	skills will be	(1000-word)	reference to the
			historical period		analysis and	research/textual	assessment	research/textual	historical period
			and tradition in	short (1000-word)	substantial (2500-	analysis and a	through a seminar	analysis and a	and tradition in
			which they were	research/textual	word) essay.	substantial (2500-	participation mark	substantial (2500-	which they were
			produced, both in	analysis, which will		word) essay.	(10%).	word) essay.	produced, both in
			a 1000-word	inform work for a					a 1000-word
			research/textual	substantial (2500-					research/textual
			analysis and	word) essay.					analysis and
			substantial (2500-						substantial (2500-
			word) essay.						word) essay.

English 2	World Literature Module	Progress towards PLO	Students will build on knowledge of non-Anglophone literatures gained in Year 1 World of Literature modules, focussing on texts from a specific language and/or culture.	Students will build on their understanding of approaches to translation gained in Year 1 World of Literature modules, as well as developing (where relevant) skills in a language other than English.	Students will further develop independent thought, well- structured and evidence-based arguments, through self- reflection, peer review, and feedback.	Students will develop their understanding of non-Anglophone literatures and cultures.	Students will further develop the oral skills required for critical discussion and debate, in response to their reading.	Students will further develop their writing skills.	Students will enlarge the scope of their cultural knowledge and the different traditions of thought across cultures.
		By working on (and	Work: Students	Work: Students	Work: Students	Work: Students	Work: Students	Work: Students	Work: Students
		if applicable,	explore a set of	analyse a core set	produce a short	build their	participate in	develop their	explore a set of
		assessed through)	core texts	of texts from a	(1000-word)	awareness of	critical discussion	writing and time	core texts
			originally written	language other	exploratory	cultural and	and debate in	management skills,	originally written
			in languages other	than Modern	formative essay, in	linguistic diversity,	small-group and	conveying	in languages other
			than Modern	English, trialling	which they begin	trialling ideas in a	seminar-wide	increasingly	than Modern
			English, trialling	ideas in a	to develop well-	formative essay	discussions and	complex ideas to	English, trialling
			ideas in a	formative essay	structured,	(1000 words).	short	produce a short	ideas in a
			formative essay	(1000 words).	evidence-based	Assessment:	presentations.	(1000-word)	formative essay
			(1000 words).	Assessment:	arguments.	Students		exploratory	(1000 words).
			Assessment:	Students closely	Assessment:	demonstrate this		formative essay.	Assessment:
			Students will	analyse texts'	Students build on	awareness in a		Assessment:	Students will
			critique and	linguistic	feedback and	substantial (2500-		Students build on	critique and
			interpret these	specificity and	formative work for	word) essay and 2-		feedback and	interpret these
			texts in a	cultural impact in a	a substantial	hour exam.		formative work for	texts in a
			substantial (2500-	substantial (2500-	(2500-word) essay			a substantial	substantial (2500-
			word) essay and 2-	word) essay and 2-	and 2-hour exam.			(2500-word) essay	word) essay and 2-
			hour exam, which	hour exam.				and 2-hour exam.	hour exam, which
			includes a specific						includes a specific
			question on						question on
			language issues.						language issues.

English 2	Critical Practice	Progress towards PLO	Students will build on their understanding of theoretical approaches and concepts gained in the Year 1 Key Concepts module.	Students will build on their understanding of the way in which critical tools can help them to assess language's social and political impact.	Students will further develop their writing skills and critical voice.	Students will build on their understanding of theories of multiculturalism and cultural diversity.	Students will further develop the oral skills required for critical discussion and debate.	Students will develop their writing skills, with an emphasis on writing for both academic and non-academic audiences.	Students further develop their engagement with literary theoretical ideas, including an increasingly sophisticated awareness of the implicated philosophical ideas and traditions.
		By working on (and if applicable, assessed through)	Work: Students read more advanced theoretical texts, supported by lectures and workshops. Assessment: Students respond to and interpret these texts in a written portfolio for academic and non-academic audiences.	Work: Students analyse and discuss literature's cultural and political impact, in relation to more advanced theoretical concepts, and refine the effectiveness of their own language in a variety of writing tasks. Assessment: Students apply this analysis and awareness in a written portfolio for academic and non-academic audiences.	Work: Students will engage in workshops and guided independent practice. Assessment: Students will draw on self-reflection, peer and tutor feedback, and revision skills, in order to develop a writing portfolio for academic and non-academic audiences.	Work: Students engage with more advanced theories of diversity through primary reading and lectures and workshops. Assessment: Students will apply an awareness of diversity in their written portfolio for academic and non-academic audiences.	Work: Students will engage in peer review and continue to develop oral skills in workshops. Assessment: Workshop discussion helps develop oral skills, which are summatively assessed in term 3.	Work: Students will practice clear and accurate writing for regular deadlines across the year, with a focus on writing for different (lay and expert) audiences. Assessment: Students will further develop their writing skills, producing a portfolio of writing for academic and non-academic audiences.	Work: Students read more advanced theoretical texts, supported by lectures and workshops. Assessment: Students respond to and interpret these texts in a written portfolio for academic and non-academic audiences.

English 2	Intermediate Topic Module	Progress towards PLO	Students will pursue to a specific topic of study, focused on a range of primary texts and secondary sources.	Students will examine relevant historical and cultural contexts.	Students will devise and manage a collaborative research project and presentation.	prepare and deliver a collaborate research presentation, demonstrating written and digital	Students will prepare and deliver a collaborate research presentation, demonstrating written and digital proficienc.	
		By working on (and if applicable, assessed through)	Work: Students explore texts related to a complex topic, supported by lectures and group discussion. Assessment: Students critique	texts, supported by selected	Work and assessment: Students will devise and manage an original, argument-based, collaborative research project and presentation.	Work and assessment: Students will manage communication for a group research process, working collaboratively on a project which	Work and assessment: Students will manage a team research schedule in order to write and deliver a collaborative research	
			and interpret texts for a collaborative research presentation.	demonstrate an informed understanding of language and narrative's impact, both in their textual analysis and their performance in a collaborative research presentation.	and presentation.	showcases more advanced oral, digital, and presentation skills.	presentation addressed to both lay and expert audiences.	
				presentation.				

Philosophy 2	Key Ideas Modules	"	By studying a	By considering,	By engaging in an	By reflecting on	By engaging in a	By engaging in	By developing an
	– Value Band	PLO	representative	discussing, and	extended	comments on their	survey of the	seminar	understanding of
			range of debates	comparing ranges	consideration of	contributions to	subject area	discussions and	philosophical
			in the area	of solutions	questions of value,	seminar	addressed by the	preparing written	approaches to
			addressed by the	presented in	students will come	discussions made	module, requiring	assignments,	questions of value,
			module, reading,	lectures, seminar	to be able to apply	by colleagues and	engagement with	students will	students will be
			dissecting, and	materials, and	philosophical	tutors, students	linked lectures,	improve their	able to juxtapose
			discussing key	additional	methods and	will develop their	regular reading	ability to express	these with
			texts, students will	readings, and	insights to	ability to amend	from an extended	complex ideas	explorations of
			come to	trying to develop	contemporary	their working	reading list, and	clearly and	value in literary
			understand and be	their own ideas,	problems	methods and	advance	precisely orally	terms.
			able to explain	students will		thinking in the	preparation for	and in writing; by	
			these debates, and	enhance their		light of	seminar	preparing for and	
			apply their	ability to identify		constructive	discussions,	taking the short-	
			understanding in	potential solutions		criticism	students will	form exam,	
			addressing novel	by extrapolation			develop their	students will	
			problems	and analogy, their		By reflecting on	ability to schedule	develop their	
				ability to construct		feedback on their	and execute a	ability to identify	
			By engaging with	and critically		formative work,	sustained	and express key	
			teaching across	evaluate		students will	investigation of	points of a debate	
			the module in	arguments, and		develop their	difficult subject	in clear and	
			preparation for the	their ability to		ability to amend	matter	concise terms. By	
			short-form exam	make judgements		their written work		working to prepare	
			element of	on what to believe		in the light of	By engaging in	an essay on a	
			assessment,	with regard to a		constructive	seminar	specific topic,	
			students will	difficult problem		criticism	discussions and a	students will	
			develop their	based on careful			variety of seminar	develop their	
			ability to form and	weighing of			activities, students	ability to present	
			deploy a broad	arguments,			will develop their	an extended	
			view of a subject	objections, and			ability to	discussion of a	
			area	responses			collaborate with	difficult issue	
							others		

Philosophy 2	Key Ideas Modules – Theoretical Philosophy Band	Progress towards PLO	As for Key Ideas Value Band, above	As for Key Ideas Value Band, above		As for Key Ideas Value Band, above	As for Key Ideas Value Band, above	As for Key Ideas Value Band, above	By developing an understanding of philosophical approaches to theoretical questions, students will be able to juxtapose these with their explorations of literary theory.
Philosophy 2	Key Ideas Modules – History of Philosophy Band	Progress towards PLO	As for Key Ideas Value Band, above, plus History of Philosophy Band Only: By undertaking an extended investigation into the thought of one philosopher or a group of philosophers, students will develop their ability to form, amend, and refine a detailed understanding of a body of philosophical work	As for Key Ideas Value Band, above	By engaging in an extended investigatoin into the work of a philosophers from a different historical period and/or cultural background, students will develop informed sensitivity to historical and/or cultural context in interpreting the work and ideas of others	As for Key Ideas Value Band, above	As for Key Ideas Value Band, above	As for Key Ideas Value Band, above	Students will be able to consolidate and enrich their understanding of the relations between philosophical and literary developments in historical context.

Philosophy 2	Second Year	Progress towards	By studying some	By investigating	By reflecting on	By engaging in	By making notes in	
	Option Module –	PLO	specific and	and discussing	feedback on their	seminar	advance of	
	Lecture Based		interrelated	proposals	formative work	discussions,	seminars, engaging	
			problems in a	presented in	(including	students will	in seminar	
			specialist area of	lectures and	contributions to	develop their	discussions, and	
			philosophy,	seminar readings,	seminar	ability to	producing written	
			students will	students will	discussions),	collaborate with	assignments,	
			develop their	develop their	students will	others	students will	
			ability to form a	ability to identify	develop their		develop their	
			clear view of a	and articulate	ability to amend		ability to express	
			topic which can be	solutions, to	their work in the		themselves clearly	
			exploited to	construct and	light of		and concisely	
			develop solutions	critically evaluate	constructive			
				arguments, and to	criticism			
				make judgements				
				on what to believe				
				with regard to a				
				difficult problem				
				based on careful				
				weighing of				
				arguments,				
				objections, and				
				responses				

Philosophy 2	Second Year	Progress towards	By approaching	By forming their	By reflecting on	By engaging in	By making notes in	
	Option Module	PLO	some specific and	own views of	feedback on their		advance of	
	 Reading Group 		interrelated	proposals	formative work	discussions in	seminars, engaging	
			problems in a	presented in	(including	which expository	in extended	
			specialist area of	primary texts and	contributions to	and clarificatory	seminar	
			philosophy	discussing their	seminar	work must be	discussions, and	
			primarily by	nature, relations,	discussions) and by	completed without	producing written	
			independent	and possible	applying	lecture back-up,	assignments,	
			reading and	elaboration in	evaluative criteria	students will	students will	
			seminar	seminar reading	to the primary	develop their	develop their	
			discussions of	groups, students	texts they	ability to	ability to express	
			primary texts,	will enhance their	consider, students	collaborate with	themselves clearly	
			students will	ability to articulate	will develop their	others in	and concisely	
			develop their	and develop	ability to amend	developing		
			ability to work	solutions, to	work in the light of	knowledge and		
			independently to	construct and	constructive	understanding		
			form a clear view	critically evaluate	criticism			
			of a topic which	arguments, and to				
			can be exploited to	make judgements				
			develop solutions	on what to believe				
				with regard to a				
				difficult problem				
				based on careful				
				weighing of				
				arguments,				
				objections, and				
				responses				

English 3 Advanced Module	Option Progress towards PLO	Students will consolidate the knowledge gained from previous modules, and apply this to a specialist research- led subject.	Students will consolidate the knowledge and critical skills gained from previous modules, toward an advanced understanding of language's social and political impact.	Students will develop advanced independent thought, well- structured and evidence-based arguments, through self- reflection, peer review, and feedback.	Students will consolidate the understanding of cultural diversity gained from previous modules, by engaging with a diverse range of texts and perspectives around a specialist research-led subject.	Students will develop the advanced oral skills required for critical discussion and debate, in response to their reading and research.	Students will develop advanced writing skills.	
	By working on (and if applicable, assessed through)	Work: Students will explore texts relating to a specialist research- led subject, trialling ideas in a formative essay (1000 words). Assessment: Students critique and interpret these texts in a long (3500-word) essay.	Work: Students will analyse a core set of texts relating to a specialist subject, trialling ideas in a formative essay (1000 words). Assessment: Students closely analyse texts in a long (3500-word) essay.	Work: Students produce a short (1000-word) exploratory formative essay, in which they develop well-structured, evidence-based arguments. Assessment: Students build on feedback and formative work for a long (3500-word) essay.	Work: Students will consolidate their understanding of cultural diversity in relation to a specialist subject. Assessment: Students demonstrate this awareness in a long (3500-word) essay.	Work: Students participate in critical discussion and debate in small-group and seminar-wide discussions and short presentations.	Work: Students will exercise writing and time management skills, conveying sophisticated ideas to produce a short (1000-word) exploratory formative essay. Assessment: Students build on feedback and formative work for a long (3500-word) essay.	

Philosophy 3	Research-led	Progress towards	KEY: By tackling	KEY: By working on	By critically	By pursuing an	KEY: By tackling	
	Taught Module	PLO	difficult	a cutting-edge	evaluating	exploration of a	difficult	
			philosophical	topic and engaging	philosophical work	difficult topic,	philosophical	
			issues, including	with a tutor	and reflecting on	involving work on	issues, including	
			some at the	working on an area	feedback from	an extended	some at the	
			forefront of	in which they	seminars and	reading list and	forefront of	
			contemporary	research, students	other formative	regular creative	contemporary	
			debate, students	will develop and	opportunities,	dialogue with their	debate, students	
			will gain detailed	demonstrate their	students will	tutor and fellow	will develop and	
			insight into	ability to make	develop their	students in	demonstrate their	
			contemporary	progress and	ability to amend	seminar	ability to give	
			philosophical	identify solutions	and enhance their	discussions,	insightful and	
			questions and	even where it's	practice as	students will hone	detailed	
			problems	unclear in the first	thinkers and	their abilities to	explanations of	
				instance how to	learners	work	philosophical	
				proceed; they will		independently and	problems in clear	
				come to be able to		in collaboration	and accessible	
				analyse and		with others	terms	
				generate				
				sophisticated				
				logical arguments;				
				and be able to				
				present a				
				sustained and				
				detailed case for a				
				judgement based				
				on careful				
				weighing of				
				arguments,				
				objections, and				
				responses				

							1	1	
Bridge Module 3	Philosophy and Literature	By addressing a range of key issues in the philosophy of literature and literary theory, students will gain a rounded understanding of the interdisciplinary connections that define the scope of the English and Philosophy programme	By bringing to bear the methodology of philosophical analysis in the domain of literarature and literary theory, students will achieve a fuller understanding of both the strengths and the discipline specificity of these skills.	By engaging with the power of language, rhetoric and narrative through the interdisciplinary lens of philosophy of literature and literary theory, students will achieve a strong and fundamental theoretical grasp upon the continuities between literary manifestations of these and their role in society at large.	By exploring the dialogue between disciplinary perspectives and methodologies in an interdisciplinary teaching context, students will critically confront the premises, horizons and values of competing arguments and perspectives.	By exploring fundamental assumptions about literature and its cultural value in a philosophical light, students will be prompted to relativize and contextualise cultural values and assumptions	By bringing together their skills in both disciplines in a seminar dialogue, students will bring their oral skills to their fullest development.	By conceiving, researching and writing an extended essay on an interdisciplinary question relating English and Philosophy, students will bring the development of their written communication skills to their highest level.	By engaging with questions of concern for both philosophy and literary study within an explicitly interdisciplinary environment, students will be able to draw together the threads of their experience of each discipline and gain an assured sense of the conceptual, methodological and topical interrelations between the two.